IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Tyrone Green
Plaintiff
V.

Martin Horn, et al.

Ca, No. o3149- Erie

District Judge Mclauglin

Magistrate Judge Baxter

AMENDED COMPLAINT ADDING

NEW DEFENDANTS AND DAMAGES

Plaintiff would humbly like to add physician's assistant telega, employed by S.C.I Albion medical Department.

Pa Telega pre-maturely confasated plaintiff splint while plaintiffs hand was still broken. Pa Telega did so without Dr. ferrettis approval, Thus causing plaintiff severe pain and discomfort and prolong the healing process causing further damage to plaintiffs hand. Pa Telega stated to Plaintiff that plaintiff had three starkes against for not getting transported, and that was because Plaintiff was, black, convict and Gay, Per steurity

There is no prejudice to the defendants, because there is no new claim or allegation. On page 3, of plaintiff's amended complaint plaintiff put the defendant on notice of the above event. plaintiff is just adding the person's name who was responsible.

Plaintiff was unable to read the medical records pertaining to this event until defendant Baker filed its motion to dismiss plaintiff's amended petition.

AMENDMENT TO DAMAGES SOUGHT

For the charges presented to this court concerning the total complaint. Plaintiff seeks to be rewarded the following paid by each defendant or the agency they work for;

- 1) pain and suffering \$200,000
- 2) Neglances \$200,000
- 3) Punitive damages \$200,000
- 4)Compensatory \$65,000
- 5) Declartory damages; whatever our Honor deems fit.
- 6) Discrimination; \$500,000
- 7) Cruel and unusual punishment; \$100,000 and sentence reduction for violation of double jeopardy by plaintiff twice being punished in state custody.

CEr-	FIFIC	AtE	oF	Ser	VICE
------	-------	-----	----	-----	------

I hereby certify that a true and correct Copy of the Foregoing Original Complaint, Amended Complaint And Propose Amended complaint WAS served VIA Institutional Mail this day of 10-5-05 upon:

Scott Bradley, Esquire
Litigation Section
6th Floor, MANOR Complex
564 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Elizabeth M. YANElli, ESQUIRE
The Thirty-Eighth Floor
OME OXFORD CENTRE
PITTSburgh, PA 15219

DAte: 10-5-05

(5) Typou Deen

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA.

Tyrone Green (PLAINTIFF)

V

Martin Horn,

Dr. Mark Baker,

William Barr and Captain of S.C.I

Albion Security (John Doe), Et Al,

C.A. No. 03-149 Erie

Amended Complaint

- 1). On 8-25-01 Plaintiff was exiting the shower, when he slip and fell in a puddle of soap and water.
- 2). As a result of Plaintiff slip and fall, he broke two bones in his right hand.
- 3). On 8-26-01, Plaintiff was transported to Millcreek Hospital, wherein Plaintiff was issued a temporary cast which was placed on Plaintiff's hand.
- 4). Plaintiff was given said temporary cast due to the immense swelling in his right hand.
- 5). Plaintiff was scheduled by the Physician(s) at Millcreek to return in two(2) days once the swelling subdued, so that a permanent cast could be placed on Plaintiff'S hand to stablize the two broken bones.
- 6). The Plaintiff was never returned to Millcreek Hospital for his scheduled follow-up care.
- 7). The medical records provided to Plaintiff states; for "scheduling and security concerns", Plaintiff was not transported back to Millcreek for follow-up care.
- 8). The denial to allow Plaintiff's scheduled follow-up care by defendants Barr, Baker, Security Capt. John Doe (was for non-medical reasons).
- 9). The actions of defendants Baker, Barr, and Security Capt. John Doe was deliberately indifference to Plaintiff serious medical need.
- 10). As a result of defendants actions, Plaintiff's hand was never stablized during it's healing process, thus putting Plaintiff in severe pain.

- 11). Plaintiff is now on daily medication orthritus.
- 12). The actions of defendants, Baker, Barr and John Doe in deny Plaintiff follow-up medical care constitute deliberate indefference to a serious medical need under the law of Pennsylvania.

Wherefore, Plaintiff request that the court grant the following relief:

- A. Issue a declaratory judgment stating that:
 The denial of follow-up medical treatment to Plaintiff by defendants
 Barr, Baker and John Doe violated Plaintiff 8th and 14th Amendment
 and constitute deliberate indifference under the State and Federal
 law.
- B. Award compensatory damages in the following amount: \$100,000 jointly and severally against defendants Barr, Baker, Security Capt. John Doe for physical and emotional injuries sustained as a result of Plaintiff being denied follow-up medical care.

UNSWORN DECLARATION

I, Tyrone Green hereby sware that the said Amended complaint and response to defendants motion to dismiss is true, and is being served to the Attorney of defendants on this date $\sqrt{-12-05}$

C.C. Robert S. Englesburg

564 Forbes AVE

MANOR COMPLEX
PHISburgh, PA 15219

- - -

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	and address of Plaintiff: TYRONE BREEN <u>E</u> I	P- 4593			
	S- ROUTE 18				·
	W. PENN-, 16475-00	(va)			1 '
717-01-					i .
	v.				
Full na	me, title, and business add	ress			
of each	defendant in this action:				
	R. MARTIN F. HORN: FI		OF D.O.C.		•
	20 LISBURN RD., P.U.				
CAN	MP HILL, PENH, 17001.	0598			
(use ac	. JEFFREY A. BEARD, Ph. 20 LISBURN RD., P.O. MP HILL PENN., 1900 dditional sheets, if necessator each defendant.)	1 60x 598 21-0598		z S	
Plainti action	iff brings this action agains	st the above named and	d identified defe	endants on the follo	wing cause of
ŧ.	Where are you now con	fined? STATE CURRE	CTICHAL INS	LITUTICAL OF AL	3104.
	What sentence are you s	erving? <u>LIFE</u> .			F (
	What court imposed the	sentence? WEST (4	lester cont	TY COURT.	1.
11.		I lawsuits in which you on. (If there is more the paper, using the same	han one lawsuit		
	1. Parties to the previous	us lawsuit			
	Plaintiffs:	No	in the second se		
		W V		* *** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
		William I			
	Defendants	Mo			<u> </u>
					er e
	2. Court tif federat cou	rt. name the district: if	state court, nar	ne the county) and (docket number.
					274
		HOHE			2.4
			A SHATE SHOW IN	SE TOE TOWN FOR LEARNING	O TO THE PARTY OF

	3, MRS. CATHERINE MCVEY, DIRECTOR OF BUREAU OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES
	2520 LISBURN RD. P.U. BOX 598
	CAMP HILL, PENN., 17001-0598
	4. MR. EISWARD T. BRENNIAN, FORMER SUPERINTENDANT OF S.C.IALBION
	PO745, ROUTE-18
* - <u></u>	ALBION, PENH-, 16475-0002
	5. MR. WILLIAM J. WOLFE, SUPERINTENDANT OF S.C.I ALBION
	10745, ROUTE-18
.,	ALBIOH, PENH., 16475-0002
	6. MRS. MAXINE OVERTON, CORRECTIONS HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATOR
	16745, RWTE18
	ALBIOX, PENN., 14475-0002
	7. MP. RICK ROOLE, INSTITUTIONAL SAFETY MANAGER
	10745, RUTE-18
- 	ALBIUM, PENN., 16475-0002
	8. MR. TIM HAMETZ, INSTITUTIONAL HOUSING UNIT MANAGER
	10745, ROUTE-18
	ALBIUN, PENIN, 16475-0002
	9. ANY ET.AL DEFENIOHNTS NOT LISTED HEREIN THAT ARE A SUPERVISING
	AGENCY OR CONTRACTOR OUTSING THE DEPT. OF CORR. FOR WHOM ANY
	LISTED DEPENDENT WAS OR IS AN EMPLOYES OF REPRESENTATIVE THEREOF.

- 1. DEFENIALT, MR, THIN HAMISTZ IS THE UNIT MANAGER FOR F-UNIT. EMPLOYED AT S.C.I.-ALBIOH. HE IS CEREBLLY RESPONSIBLE PORTHE CARE, CUSTODY, AND CONTROL OF ALL IMMATES HOUSED ON HIS HOUSING ULITI. HE IS BEING SUED IN HIS INDIVIOUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITIES
- Q. DEPENDANT, MR. RICK POSIE IS THE INSTITUTION'S SAFETY MANAGER, EMPLOYED AT S.C.I. - ALBIUM. HE IS GENERALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR INSURING THE SAFETY OF THE INSTITUTION, ADMINISTRATION AND/OR EMPLOYEES, AND WIMETER. HE ASSUMES THE RESPONSIBILITY OF IN-NESTIBATING SITUATIONS AND/OR REPORTS WHERE SAID MENSTIONED INDIVIOUALS' SAPETY IS PLACED IN IMMEDIATE OR EMILIATE CANGER. HE IS BEING SUED IN HIS INDIVITUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITIES.
- 13. DEFENDANT, MRS. MAXINE OVERTON IS THE INSTITUTION'S CORRECTIONS HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATOR EMPLOYED AT S.C. 1. - ALBION. SHE IS GENTRALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ENSURING THE PROVISIONS OF MEDICAL CARE POR PRISONERS, FOR SCHEDULING MEDICAL APPOINTMENTS OUTSIDE THE INSTITUTION WHEN AN INMATE NEEDS SPECIALIZED TREATMENT OR EVALUATIONS AND IS THE ASSIGNED GRIENANCE OFFICER FOR GALENANCES PERTAINING MEDICAL CONCERNS. SHE IS BEING SUED IN HER INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITIES.
- 4. DEFENDANTS MR. EDWARD T. BRENHAN FORMER SUPERINTENDANT-AND MR. WILLAM J. WOLFE, SWEDINTENDANT; BOTH FORMER AND MURRENT SUPERINTENDANTS WERE/ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CUERAL

CARR, CUSTODY, AND CONTROL AND MANAGEMENT OF ALL INMATES HOUSED WHILE AT S.C.I.-ALBION. THEY ARE BOTH BEING SUED IN THEIR INIDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CARACITIES.

5. DEPENDANT, MRS. CATHERINE MOUSY, IS THE DIRECTOR OF BURGAU OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES ADMINISTERED TO INMATES THROUGHOUT THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. SHE IS THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. SHE

CO. DEFENDANTS, MR. MARTIN F. HURN-FORMER SECRETARY OF THE D.O.C.
AND MR. DEFFREY A. BEARD, Ph.D., SECRETARY OF D.O.C.; BOTH FURMER

AND CURRENT SECRETARYS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CURRECTIONS WERE ARE

RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CARE, CUSTODY, CONTROL, AND MANAGEMENT OF

ALLIHMATES THRUGHOUT THE ENTIRE DEPARTMENT OF CURRECTIONS.

BOTH ARE BEING SUED IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL AND Official CAPACITIES.

RAY ET. AL. DEPENDANTS NOT LISTED HEREIN THAT ARE A SUCERUISING AGENCY OF CONTRACTOR CUTSION THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPROTIONS FOR WHOM ANY LISTED DEFENDANT WAS (IS AN EMPLOYEE OF REPRESENTATIVE THEORED. THEY ARE CRING SUED IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CARACITIES.

1.6.

3.	Name of judge to whom case was assigned NULL			
4.	Disposition (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?)			
	NONE			
5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuitNUNY			
6	Approximate date of disposition NUNC			
В.	Prior disciplinary proceedings which deal with the same facts involved in this action:			
	Where? NUNC When? NUNC Result: NUNC			
III.	What federal law do you claim was violated? THE EIGHTH (84)! Fourteenth (14) AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.			
IV.	Statement of Claim			
İ	(State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheet if necessary.)			
Α.	Date of event: August As, 2001.			
В.	Place of event: S.C.I ALBION, F.A HOUSING UNIT SHOWER ARA.			
c.	Persons involvedname each person and tell what that person did to you: I.ON ALCUST AS, 2001, PLAINTIFF WAS EXTING THE SHOWER INHEH HE SHIPEN AND FELL DUE TO COLLECTED WATER IN AND ARCUMI) THE SHOWER AREA. AS A RESULT OF PLAINTIFF'S SLIP AND FALL, HE BROKE TWO (2) BONES IN HIS RIGHT HAND. There was made on any other sort to Prevent this accident			

STATEMENT OF MAINS COUT. DISCUSSED THE ALLEGATIONS SURROUNDING PLAINTIFF'S GREVANCE AND AT THE CUXULUSION OF THE MEETING, PLAINTIFF VIEWED THE GRIEVANCE AS BANG UNRESCHUED. 4. PLAINTIFF RELIEVED THE INITIAL REVIEW RESPONSE FROM MR. THIN HAMETZ AKKHOWLEDGING THAT PLAINTIFF DID INFACT FALL AND THAT PLAINTIFF'S SUGGESTION OF PLACING MATS ON THE PLOOPS IN AND AROUND THE SHOWER WARRENTED MERIT " IF THERE IS A HIGH WUDENCE OF SLIPS ON THE CEPAMIL TILES." MR. TIM HAMETZ SUPPOSEDLY FORWHERED A CUPY OF PLAINTIFF'S GRIEVANCE TO THE INSTITUTION'S SAPETY MANAGER, MR. RICK FOOLS FOR FURTHER REVIEW. PLAINTIFF WAS NOT RECIEVED ANY NO -TICES OF THE SAFETY MANAGERS' REVIEW, PLAINTIFF APPEALED THE GRIEV-ANCE OFFICER'S RESPONSE TO THE SECRETARY'S OFFICE OF IMMATE GRIEN-ANCES AND APPEALS. 5. ON SEPTEMBER 25, 2001, PLAINTIFF FILED ANOTHER GRIEVANCE CITING THAT MILLCREEK HUSDITAL ISSUED HIM A TEMPORARY CAST WITH INSTRUCTIONS FUR PLAINTIFF TO 1SE RETURNED TO THE HOSPITAL FOR A FULL CAST. THE INSTITUTION'S MICHICAL DEPARTMENT FAILED TO ADJECT TO PHYSICIANS INSTRUCTIONS FOR RETURNING PLAINTIFF POR HIS INTENDED FULL CAST. PLAINTIFF IS NO MEDICAL PHYSICIAN BUT, WAS ENCUGH CUNIMON SENSE TO MULL THAT THE PRESENT COMIDITION OF HIS RIGHT WAND IS DUE TO THE LACK OF RESPONSIBILITY ON THE BENGHE OF THE INSTITUTION'S MENCAL DEPARTMENT. PLAINTIFF IS NOW ON dAILY MEDICATION. 16. PLAINTIFF'S GLIEVANCE DATED SEPTEMBER 25, 2001, WAS FORWARDED TO COLEMANCE OFFICER, MIS MAXINE CHERTON, WHO THEN SCHEDULED TO MEET WITH PLAINTIFF ON THE ALLEGATION (S) RAISED WITHIN HIS

	STATEMENT CE CLAYMS CONT.
	GRIEVANCE. PLANTIFF ADAMENTLY EXPRESSED HIS CONCERN IN BEHALF OF
	THE LACK OF RESPONSIBILITY BY THE INSTITUTION'S MEDICAL DEPART-
	MENT'S IMPROPER SUSCEMENT TO NOT SEND PLAINTIFF BACK TO
	MILLEREEN HOSPITAL FOR FUETHER MEDICAL TREATMENT AND A FULL
	CAST. PLAINTIFF'S REVIEW OF HIS ALLECATION(S) RAISED IN HIS GRIEV-
	ANUE WERE UNRESOLUED AND PLAINTIFF HAS NOT RECIEVED AN INITIAL
The second second	PENIEW RESPONSE FROM MES ONTRION.
	7. OH SEPTEMBER 30, 8001, PLAINTIFF AGAIN FILED A GRIEVANCE REGARDING
	TO THE LACK OF PROPER MEDICAL TREATMENT FOR HIS BROKEN HAND AND
	HOW THE UNIT WALKSER OF PLAINTIFF'S HOUSING UNIT SHOULD WAVE, BUT
	FAILED TO INSTALL SAFETY PRECAUSIONS TO PREVIENT FURTHER ACCIDENTS.
	PLAINTIFF'S CRIEVANCE WAS RETURNED BY GRIEVANCE COURDINATUR, MR.
	BILL BARR, AS BRING PREVIOUSLY ADDRESSED.
	8. OH OCTOBER 22, 2001, PLAINTIFF RECIEVED A RESPONSE FROM THE
	SECRETARY'S OFFICE OF IMMATE GRIEVANCES AND APPEALS FROM MRS.
	TSHANNA C. KYLZR DENYING PLAINTIFF'S GRIEVANCE HE APPRALED
	DATED SEPTEMBER 12, 2001, REASONING FOR SAID DENIAL WAS DUE
	TO THE PAULITY MANAGER'S RESPONSE NOT BEING INCLUSED.
	9. PLAINTIFF THEN SENT AN INMATE RECOVEST SLIP, CX OCTOBER 22, 2001,
	TO THE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT/MRS. OVERTUN/ EXPRESSING HIS CONCERNS
	ABOUT THE CUMPITION OF HIS BRUNEN HAND AND THE IMPROPER TREAT-
	MENT. PLAINTIFF STATED HE WAS EXPERIENCING DAIN AND LACK OF
	MUBILITY. TO DATE HE WAS NEURZ RECIEWED A RESOLUSE.
	Ø.b

RELIEF A. ISSUE A DECLARATORY SUDGEMENT STATING THAT! 1. THE DENIAL OF ADECOUATE MEDICAL TREATMENT TO THE PLAIN-TIFF BY DEFENDANTS OVERTUR, MOVEY, AND ET, AL. DEFENDANTS DID INFACT VIOLATED PHINTIFF'S RIGHTS WORR THE EIGHTH'AMKND-MGUST TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND CONSTITUTED A DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE UNDER THE STATE AND/OR PEDELAL LAW: 2. DEPENDANTS BEENHAN, WOLFE, HOEN, AND BEARD'S FAILURES TO TAKE ACTION TO CURB THE DENIAL OF ADEQUATE MEDICAL TRYATMENT TO PRISONERS MOLATED PLAINTIFF'S RIGHTS WIDER THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND CONSTITUTED DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE UNDER STATE AND/OB PEDERAL LAW: 3. DEFENDANTHAMETZAND FUGLE'S FAILURE TO PROVIDE REAS-CHABLE SAFETY AND PRINTSE REASONABLE SAFE LIVING AND WORKING SWIRCHMENTS TO PRISONERS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND CONSTITUTED A DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE UNICE STATE AND/CR PEDERAL LAW: B 138UE AH INJUNCTION CROEPING DEFENDANTS WOLFE FOGLE CRITHEIR AGENTS TO: 1. IMMEDIATELY ARRAINGE FUL RUBBER MATS TO BE PLACED CH ALL PLECES IN ALL HOUSING UNIT SHOWER AREAS, DINING

WALL AREAS, LOBBIES, ALLD ALL INMATE WORK AREAS THAT CON-TAIN POTENTIAL FOR FUTURE POSSIBLE SAFETY NAZARDS;

a. CARRY OUT WITHOUT DELAY ANN AND ALL OTHER SAFETY MEASURS AND PRECAUTIONS DEEMED NECESSARY TO GUARANTER IMMATE'S SAFETY IN THE INSTITUTION.

.C. ISSUE AN ORDER FOR AN INJUNCTION CROSSING DEFENDANT BEARN TO:

I MANDATE THAT DEFENDANT BEARD CONSTRUCT AND IMPLI-MENT A DEPARTMENT OF CUPPECTION POLICY PUR WHICH TO INSURE INMATE HEALTH AND SAFETY IN ALL ASPECTS OF INSTITUTIONAL HOUSING OF INMATES THROUGHOUT THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPECT-JUNS.

D. AWARD CLIMPENSATION FOR COMPENSATURY DAMAGES IN THE FULLOWING AMOUNTS:

1. " 100,000 JOINTLY AND SWERALLY AGAINST DEFENDANTS HAMETZ AND FOGLE FOR PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL IN JURIES SUSTAINED BY FAILURE TO PROVING REASONABLE SAFETY AND FAILURE TO PROVIDE REASONABLE SAFE LIVING AND WORKING EMULROMMENTS TO IMMATES;

2, \$300,000 JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY AGAINST DEFENDANTS ONERTUN, MUVEY AND ET. AL. DEPENDANTS FOR PHYSICAL AND

	EMOTIONAL INSCRES SUSTAINERS POR THE DENIAL OF ADECEVATE
	MEDIUL TREATMENT TO PLAINTIFF;
	3. 2300, CUC DOINTLY AND SEVERALLY AGAINST DEFENDANTS
,	HURN, BEARD, BEENMAN AND WOLFE PUR THE PHYSICAL AND
	EMOTICULAL INJURIES SUSTAINED IN CONNECTION WITH PLAINTIFF'S
	LACK OF REASONABLE SAFETY AND REASONABLE SAFE LIVING AND
	WORKING ENVIRONMENT AS WELL AS PLAINTIFF'S DENIAL OF
	ADEQUATE MEDICAL TREATMENT;
	E. AWARD PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE PULLOWING AMOUNT:
	1.360,000 EACH AGAINST DEFENDANTS HAMETZ AND POGLE;
	2 2300,000 EACH ACAINST DEFENDANTS OVERTUN, MCVEY, AND
	ET. AL, DEFENDANTS;
	3.300,000 EACH ACHINST DEFENDANTS HURN, BEARD, BRENNAN
	AND WOLFE
	P. GRANT ANY OTHER SUCH RELIEF AS IT MAY APPEAR THAT
	PLAINTIFF IS ENTITLED.
	· ·
<i>ж</i>	
	3.6

Jaces

	3. ON SEPTEMISEL 12, 2001, PETITIONER FILED A BRIEVANCE WITH THE INST	`\ ~
	TUTION'S GRIEVANCE COOKSYNATOR, MR. BILL BARR, WHO THEN FORWARDED	
	SAID GRIEVANCE TO GRIEVANCE OFFICER, MR. TIM HAMPIZ; INST. UNIT MAN	ACEIL,
* •	CH SEPTEMISTER 13, 2001, FOR INVESTIGATION. MR, TIM HAMETZ AHIS PLAINTIF	<u> </u>
	3 TATEMIENT CONT. LIERT PAGES	
٧.	Did the incident of which you complain occur in an instituti	0.77
	or place of custody in this District? If so, where?	.011
!	STATE CURRECTIONAL INSTITUTION OF ALBION	
	and answer the following questions:	
		:
A.	Is there a prisoner grievance procedure in this institution Yes (X) No ()	?
в.	Did you present the facts relating to your complaint in the	
	state prisoner grievance procedure? Yes (X) No ()	
c.	If your answer is YES,	
1.	What steps did you take? AFTER PECIEVING BUEVANCE OFFICER'S IN	(T) A)
	REVIEW REPORT I THEN APPEALED TO THE SECRETARY'S CAFICE OF IMPLATE GRI	EVANCES
2	What was the result? DENIED AS IMPROPERTY FILED.	
۷.	THE TEST THE PERIOD AS THE PER	
	The volum angerow is NO ownlain why not:	
٥.	If your answer is NO, explain why not:	
-		
E.	If there is no prison grievance procedure in the institution did you complain to prison authorities? Yes () No ()	ın,
: <u>_</u>		
F.	If your answer is YES,	Li
1.	What steps did you take?	
2.	What was the result?	
VI.	Relief	
• • •	State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for yo	<u>u</u> .
	Make no legal arguments. Site no cases or statues.	
	WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF REQUESTS THAT THE COURT AND DURY GRANT	7HE
	FOLLOWING RELIEF:	
	FOLLOWING RELIEF:	<u>s.</u>
	Landa, contributed to	
: DECI	LARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FORECOING IS TRUE AND)
CORREC		

(Signature or Plaintiff)